

How EUROfusion Advanced Computing Hubs leverage high-performance computing to accelerate research and engineering in nuclear fusion

Dr. Gilles Fourestey





SCITAS





Simulations/HPC to model the hot plasma

- Fusion reactors are extremely complex to build
- Numerical simulations are an essential tool to help their design
- But are also **extremely demanding** both in terms of models and resources

Coupling between different fields...

- Electromagnetic
- Plasma physics kinetic, gyrokinetic,
- Two-fluids,
- MHD models
- Material science plasma-wall interactic
- Wave physics heating systems
- Engineering not included!

with different space/time scales...



... and HPC motifs and their hardware implementations

Science	Multi-	Dense	Sparse	Spectral	N-Body	Structured	Unstructured	Data
areas	physics,	linear	linear	Methods	Methods	Grids	Grids	Intensive
	Multi-	algebra	algebra	(FFT)s	(N-Body)	(S-Grids)	(U-Grids)	
	scale	(DLA)	(SLA)	SM-FFT)		· · ·		
Nanoscience	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х		
Chemistry	Х	X	Х	Х	Х			
Fusion	Х	X	Х			Х	X	Х
Climate	х		Х	Х		Х	х	Х
Combustion	Х		X			Х	X	X
Astrophysics	Х	X	X	Х	X	Х	X	X
Biology	Х	X					X	Х
Nuclear		X	Х		X			Х
System	General	High	High	High	High	High	Irregular	High
Balance	Purpose	Speed	Performance	Interconnect	Performance	Speed	Data and	Storage
Implications	palanced	CPU,	Memory	Bisection	Memory	CPU,	Control	and
	System	High		bandwidth		High	Flow	Network
	C	Flop/s				Flop/s		bandwidtl
		rate				rate		





EPFL Unprecedented level of heterogeneity

- GPUs are dominating the Top500
- but the CPU/GPU combo is rarely the same vendor-wise
- And there's more to come:







	Rank	c System		(PFlop/s)	(PFlop/s)	(kW)
		Frontier - HPE Cray EX235a, AMD Optimized 3rd Generation EPYC 64C 26Hz, AMD Instinct MI250X, Slingshot-11, HPE D0E/SC/OAK ridge National Laboratory United States	8,699,904	1,194.00	1,679.82	22,703
	2	Aurora - HPE Cray EX - Intel Exascale Compute Blade, Xeon CPU Max 9470 520 2.40Hz, Intel Data Center GPU Max, Slingshot-11, Intel DoE/SC/Argonen National Laboratory United States	4,742,808	585.34	1,059.33	24,687
intel. intel.	3	Eagle - Microsoft NDv5, Xeon Platinum 8480C 48C 2GHz, NVIDIA H100, NVIDIA Infiniband NDR, Microsoft Microsoft Azure United States	1,123,200	561.20	846.84	
	4	Supercomputer Fugaku - Supercomputer Fugaku, A64FX 48C 2.26Hz, Tofu interconnect D, Fujitsu RIKEN Center for Computational Science Japan	7,630,848	442.01	537.21	29,899
	5	LUMI - HPE Cray EX235a, AMD Optimized 3rd Generation EPYC 64C 26Hz, AMD Instinct MI250X, Slingshot-11, HPE EuroHPC/CSC Finland	2,752,704	379.70	531.51	7,107
arm	6	Leonardo - BullSequana XH2000, Xeon Platinum 8358 32C 2.66Hz, NVIDIA A100 SXM4 64 GB, Quad-rail NVIDIA HDR100 Infiniband, EVIDEN EuroHPC/CINECA Haly	1,824,768	238.70	304.47	7,404
	7	Summit - IBM Power System AC922, IBM POWER9 22C 3.07GHz, NVIDIA Volta GV100, Dual-rail Mellanox EDR Infiniband, IBM D0E/SC/DAR Ridge National Laboratory United States	2,414,592	148.60	200.79	10,096
	8	MareNostrum 5 ACC - BullSequana XH3000, Xeon Platinum 8460Y+ 400 2 3:0Hz, NVIDIA H100 646B, Infiniband NDR200, EVIDEN EuroHPC/BSC Spain	680,960	138.20	265.57	2,560
	9	Eos NVIDIA DGX SuperPOD - NVIDIA DGX H100, Xeon Platinum 8480C 56C 3.8GHz, NVIDIA H100, Infiniband NDR400, Nvidia WVIDIA Corporation United States	485,888	121.40	188.65	
	10	Sierra - IBM Power System AC922, IBM POWER9 22C 3.1GHz, NVIDIA Volta GY100, Dual-rail Mellanox EDR Infiniband, IBM / NVIDIA / Mellanox D0E/NNSA/LLNL United States	1,572,480	94.64	125.71	7,438

Power

Rpeak



EUROfusion Advanced Computing Hubs

EUROfusion is a consortium of national fusion research institutes

- 30 research groups (25 in Europe)
- Founded in 2014, HQ at IPP

Aiming at helping **deliver fusion energy.**

E-TASC – Theory and Advanced Simulation Coordination between:

- **14 TSVV** (Theory, Simulation, Validation and Verification) projects
- **5 ACH** (Advanced computing Hubs)

In particular, **3 HPC ACHs** were created in order **to help building power plants through numerical simulations**

- Extension of **HLST** (Roman Hatsky/IPP)
- Develop efficient, reliable tools
- Modernize and industrialize research codes

In order to **gain insight and predict fusion experiments** (ITER, JT60-SA, DEMO...)



EUROfusion Advanced Computing Hubs

E-TASC - Theory and Advanced Simulation: coordination between

- **TSVV**: perform research and channel science and engineering into scientific codes
- ACH: modernize and industrialize research codes into HPC standards

According to EUROfusion's Software Standards:

- **SOFTWARE ENGINEERING**: Version control, coding standards, test-driven.
- CODE INTERFACES: Graphical User Interface, post-processing and visualisation tools, interfaces to the IMAS Data Dictionary.
- **VVUQ**: Code Verification and Validation, reports/papers available, validation against experimental results.
- **CODE DISSEMINATION**: Up-to-date release version of the source code available, trainings provided.
- **CODE DOCUMENTATION**: High-quality technical documentation and user manual available.
- **USER SUPPORT**: Responsive support team in place, tools for managing support requests.





Plasma Physics Codes

Plama simulation codes are research codes:

- mostly CPU-only,
- written in C,C++ and Fortran,
- MPI and/or OpenMP,
- under active development by physicists, mathematicians, etc...

General porting rules:

- least modifications of the code/no rewrite
- "maximum" performance
- portability/no specific target



How to transition towards GPU codes?

There are **3 main approaches**:

- Library encapsulation (e.g. Kokkos, PETSc, AmgX, FFTW, BLAS/Lapack...):
 - easy to use, good out-of-the-box performance
 - not applicable to all codes and might necessitate some rewrite (e.g. data structures)
- Cuda/ROCm/SYCL: low level high performance
 - best performance
 - not portable, necessitate heavy rewrite
- Pragma directives (OpenMP offload / OpenACC)
 - portable, relatively easy to use
 - some code rewrite and possible algorithmic modifications

How to transition towards GPU codes

There are 3 main approaches:

Library encapsulation (e.g. Kokkos, PETSc, AmgX, BLAS/Lapack...)

Pragma directives (OpenMP offload / OpenACC)

Cuda/R0Cm/SYCL





EPFL GBS - Global Braginskii Solver (Ricci et al. EPFL)



GBS is used to study **plasma turbulence** in the tokamak boundary

- Plasma model based on drift-reduced Braginskii equations
- Single species kinetic neutral model
- Time evolution: 4th order Runge-Kutta algorithm
- Spatial discretisation: 4th order finite centered differences

HPC in GBS:

- Plasma model based on drift-reduced Braginskii equations
- Written in Fortran90 + MPI, CUDA for NVIDIA GPU
- Dependencies: MPI, HDF5, PETSc, CUDA

Main bottlenecks:

- RHS computation (stencil operations)
- Poisson and Ampere solvers



EPFL GBS: TCV on Piz Daint@CSCS (Cray XC40)



Moving from UMFpack to PETSc

65X performance increase using 32 nodes

2ns step: GPU implementation

- RHS: stencil operations using CUDA kernels
- Solver: PETSc or AMGX on GPU

Outcome: currently, allocation of

- 500M core-hours
- 5M GPU-hours

on multiple Tier-0 HPC systems



Setup: **TCV**, 2D poisson, 1 timestep

- Nx = 720, ny = 960, nz = 1
- Solver: BiCGStab
- Preconditioner: jacobi
- Xeon E5-2690V3@2.6Ghz, 64GB ram,
- Aries interconnect

EPFL Leonardo vs LUMI-C - TCV@0.9T







- Grid size:
 - Nx=300
 - Ny=600
 - Nz=128
- 100 plasma steps
- No neutrals

nodes	Px		Ру		Pz
2	8	1	16	1	2
4	8	1	16	1	4
8	8	1	16	1	8
16	8	1	16	1	16



- ASCOT5 is a test particle orbit-following code for toroidal magnetically confined fusion devices
- The code uses the Monte Carlo method to solve the distribution of particles by following their trajectories.
 - The evolution of the distribution function for a test particle species *a* is described by the Fokker-Planck equation $\partial f_a + \mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla f + \frac{q_a}{q_a} (\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{y} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla f = \sum_{a} \nabla \nabla f + \sum_{a} f \nabla \nabla f + \nabla f +$

$$\frac{\partial f_a}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f_a + \frac{q_a}{m_a} (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_a = \sum_b -\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot [\mathbf{a}_{ab} f_a - \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot (\mathbf{D}_{ab} f_a)]$$

and **approximated by the Langevin equation** for a large number of markers that represent the distributed function:

 $d\mathbf{z} = [\dot{\mathbf{z}} + \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{z}, t)] dt + \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{z}, t) \cdot d\boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}$

- The particles undergo collisions with a static Maxwellian background plasma
- The detailed magnetic fields and the first wall can be fully 3D
- MPI + OpenMP (task-based) and highly vectorized



EPFL Moving to GPUs: OpenMP-Offload hardware mapping



- MPI+GPU levels of parallelism:
 - Message Passing: particles distributed among MPI tasks, fields replicated
 - GPU OpenMP-offload based 2 levels of parallelism map to:
 - Marker queues distributed over OpenMP teams
 - Each marker is distributed over OpenMP team threads



EPFL ASCOT5 - GPU version



- MPI+GPU levels of parallelism:
 - Message Passing: particles distributed among MPI tasks, fields replicated
 - GPU OpenMP-offload based 2 levels of parallelism map to:
 - Marker queues distributed over OpenMP teams
 - Each marker is distributed over OpenMP team threads





ASCOT5 Benchmarks



"May2022" Benchmark, comparison with different compilers/platforms

- gcc11 on x86 + v100 (Phoenix@EPFL)
- XL compilers + v100 (m100@Cineca)
- intel compilers on skylake and icelake (Jed@EPFL, ASCOT5 cpu-only)
- gcc11 with OpenACC on x86 + v100 (Phoenix@EPFL)

ASCOT5	TTS[s]	may2022_2dwall_go_a	nalyticB	
	markers:	10000	100000	
				Platform
m100@CINECA	OMP Offload	46	473	Power9 + v100
Phoenix@EPFL	OMP Offload	232	2143	6138 gold + v100
Phoenix@EPFL	OpenACC	48	261	6138 gold + v100
Helvetios@EPFL	OMP (cpu-only)	87	860	2x Gold 6140
Jed@EPFL	OMP (cpu-only)	31	318	2x Platinum 8360Y

EPFL ASCOT5-GPU with OpenACC/OpenMP offload interop



Moving to OpenACC

- OpenACC is more mature than OpenMP offload
- gcc supports it along OpenMP offload (-fopenacc or -fopenmp)
- OpenACC and OpenMP offload are very similar

```
OMP_L1
for(int iprt = 0; iprt < NbParticules ; iprt += NSIMD) {
    ...some work...
    particle_simd_fo p; //new set of NSIMD particles
    OMP_L2
    for(int i=0; i< NSIMD; i++) {
        p.running[i] = 0;
        ...some work...
OMP_L2
for(int i=0; i< NSIMD; i++) {
        ...some work...
OMP_L2
for(int i=0; i< NSIMD; i++) {
        ...some work...
</pre>
```

#pragma once #define STRINGIFY(X) #X #define MY_PRAGMA(X)_Pragma(STRINGIFY(X)) #if !defined(_OPENMP) && !defined(_OPENACC) #warning "No Openmp or OpenACC" #define OMP_L1 #define OMP_L2 #define DECLARE_TARGET #define DECLARE_TARGET_END #endif #ifdef _OPENMP #warning "OpenMP" #define **OMP_L1** MY_PRAGMA (omp distribute parallel for) #define **OMP_L2** MY_PRAGMA (omp simd) #define DECLARE_TARGET MY_PRAGMA(omp declare target) #define DECLARE_TARGET_END MY_PRAGMA(omp end declare target #endif #ifdef _OPENACC #warning "OpenACC" #define OMP_L1 MY_PRAGMA (acc loop gang worker) #define OMP_L2 MY_PRAGMA (acc vector) #define DECLARE_TARGET MY_PRAGMA(acc routine vector) #define DECLARE_TARGET_END MY_PRAGMA(warning "ACC") #endif

EPFL New algorithmic approach



- The original implementation is not GPU-friendly:
 - one very large kernel
 - events depend on the previous event
- Implement a new version by **splitting the initial kernel**:
 - **Parallelize over events** instead of execute all particles
 - small kernels independent of each other



EPFL Benchmarks





- Jed: 2x Platinum 8360Y, intel/2021.6.0, -Ofast -qopt-zmm-usage=high -march=native
- Leonardo: A100, nvhpc/23.1, -03 -acc -Minfo=accel -gpu=managed
- **Time-to-Solution**, lower is better



10M markers Oct2023 benchmark



EPFL Conclusions



- EUROfusion has created an framework to improve high performance scientific software development in order to help build fusion reactors
 - **TSVV** to develop research codes and validate them on current reactors
 - **ACH** to industrialize and accelerate those codes to help build future reactors
- There are several ways to do that:
 - **Optimized librairies** are the best way to get performance out-of-the box
 - **CUDA/ROCm/SYCL** will give best performance but not portable
 - **OpenMP offload/OpenACC** directives
- Directive-based approaches are sound, but
 - OpenMP Offload is probably the best choice but it is not mature
 - OpenACC is **very fast but is not supported by all**
- Whatever the choice, expect at least some rewrite
 - the highest quality the code is, the easiest it will be



Thank you!