uFI 0000 Numerical experiments

Conclusion 00

Comparison of Numerical Flow Iteration to Lagrangian and Semi-Lagrangian Schemes for the Vlasov System

> Rostislav-Paul Wilhelm Jan Eifert Manuel Torrilhon

November 28, 2023





● Vlasov–Poisson equation

#### ❷ NuFI

Over the second seco

#### Onclusion



## Vlasov–Poisson equation



NuFI 00000 Numerical experiments

Conclusion 00

### The Vlasov–Poisson equation

Kinetic model for collective electron behaviour



The Poisson equation for E reads:

$$\begin{split} E(t,x) &= -\nabla_x \varphi(t,x), \\ \Delta_x \varphi(t,x) &= -\rho(t,x) \\ \rho(t,x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{ion}(t,x,v) \mathrm{d}v - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{electron}(t,x,v) \mathrm{d}v \end{split}$$



NuFI 00000

## Problems with solving Vlasov equation numerically

$$\partial_t f + \frac{p}{m\gamma} \partial_x f + q E \partial_p f = 0$$
$$f : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

High-dimensional equation: Full Vlasov equation for 1 species is a 7-dimensional PDE.
Grid-based solvers suffer from extensive memory-usage.



- Lack of diffusion in the model leads to strong filamentation in the solution for long-times.
  - > Steep gradients  $\Rightarrow$  Practically impossible to resolve.
  - > DSMC/SPH/PIC require high resolution and remeshing.

# NuFI



Vlasov–Poisson equation	NuFI	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
000	O●OOO	0000	00

## Motivation



Note:

$$\partial_t f + \left( \begin{pmatrix} v \\ -E \end{pmatrix} \cdot \nabla_{(x,v)} \right) f = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(t,x,v) = f_0(\Phi^0_t(x,v))$$

Where:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Phi_t^s(x,v) = \begin{pmatrix} -v \\ E(t,x) \end{pmatrix} \quad \Phi_s^s(x,v) = (x,v)$$



Vlasov–Poisson equation	NuFI	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
000	00●00	0000	00

## Approximating the phase-flow

Iterative approximation of  $\Phi_{t_k}^{t_{k-1}}$  via symplectic Euler:

$$\begin{split} x_{k-1} &\coloneqq x_k - \gamma \cdot \Delta t \cdot v_k, \\ v_{k-1} &\coloneqq v_k + \Delta t \cdot \frac{E(t_{k-1}, x_{k-1})}{m}, \end{split}$$

Electric field is known at **previous time-step**!

For nonlinear case,  $t = t_k$ :

- 1 Compute  $\rho_k$  using numerical quadrature.
- **2** Compute electric potential  $\varphi_k$  from  $\rho_k$  using finite differences.
- ⑧ Save coefficients of  $\varphi_k$  in B-Spline basis → incorporate Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Repeat for  $t_k \rightarrow t_{k+1}!$ 

Vlasov–Poisson equation	NuFI	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
000	000●0	0000	00

#### Memory usage

#### No need to save f!

- Saving  $\varphi_h$ .
- · Shift workload from "memory access" to "computation on the fly":
  - ➤ High flop/Byte ratio.
  - > Cache can store coefficients of  $\varphi_h$  for several time-steps.

Memory Requirement **Grid** =  $N_x^d \times N_v^d \times$  size of one floating point value Memory Requirement **NuFI** =  $n \times N_x^d \times$  size of one floating point value

Where *n* number of time-steps:  $n \ll N_v^d$ .

d	$N_x = N_v$	Memory of $\varphi_h$ per step	Memory for storing $f$
2	128	0.125 MiB	$      \approx 2.0 \cdot 10^3 \text{ MiB} \\       \approx 3.3 \cdot 10^4 \text{ MiB} \\        \approx 5.2 \cdot 10^5 \text{ MiB} $
2	256	0.5 MiB	
2	512	2 MiB	
3	128	0.015 GiB	$\begin{array}{l} \approx 3.3 \cdot 10^4 ~~ \text{GiB} \\ \approx 2.1 \cdot 10^6 ~~ \text{GiB} \\ \approx 1.3 \cdot 10^8 ~~ \text{GiB} \end{array}$
3	256	0.125 GiB	
3	512	1 GiB	

## Conservation properties of NuFI

Comparison of different conservation properties over time between NuFI and SLDG.





## Numerical experiments



Vlasov–Poisson equation	NuFI	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
000	00000	○●○○	00

## Laser-driven shock

$$f_0(x,v,T,m) = p_0(x) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\frac{T}{m}}} e^{-\frac{v^2m}{2T}} , p_0(x) = \begin{cases} 2n_c x/\lambda - 6n_c, & \text{if } 3\lambda \leq x < 4\lambda, \\ 2n_c, & \text{if } 4\lambda \leq x < 12\lambda, \\ -2n_c x/\lambda + 26n_c, & \text{if } 12\lambda \leq x < 13\lambda, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



- Ion movement
- Reflecting boundary conditions for f
- Dirichlet boundary conditions for  $\varphi$

Vlasov–Poisson equation	NuFI	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
000	00000		00

### Errors

**Two Stream 1d** Relative  $L^2$  errors in f and E:



 $\Rightarrow$  Decreasing error in f and E for increasing resolution

 $\Rightarrow$  NuFI results in faster convergence for E and, in particular, f [Einkemmer, 2015]



Vlasov–Poisson equation	NuFI	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
000	00000		00

## Computational complexity and scaling

**Two-stream benchmark:** d = 1 (left) & d = 2 (right).  $N_T = 481$  time-steps.



⇒ Computational complexity **linear** in number of quadrature points!

14/16

 $\Rightarrow$  Strong scaling.

# Conclusion



Vlasov–Poisson equation	NuFl	Numerical experiments	Conclusion
000	00000	0000	O

## Summary

#### Conclusion:

- All DOF in phase-space are independent of each other!
  - Embarrassingly parallel algorithm.
- Very low memory usage.
  - Never save f!
- Comes at cost of increasing complexity with number of time-steps.
- Exact conservation of properties.
- Can naturally incorporate any boundary conditions.

#### Future research:

• Enabling restart of simulation & starting from experimental data.

Wilhelm, R.-P., ACoM RWTH Aachen, wilhelm@acom.rwth-aachen.de Eifert, J., ACoM RWTH Aachen, jan.eifert@rwth-aachen.de

